

## Form no. (2-A)

## The Individual Report of a Referee on the Evaluation of Research Submitted for Promotion (Technological Cadre)

Applicant's Name:	
Department:	College:
Degree applied for:	Number of submitted research papers:
Major/Minor:	

## I. Evaluation Summary:

Evaluation Criteria	Points	Research Number							
Evaluation Criteria	(Max.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Overall structure	5								
Use of correct expression and accurate language	5								
3. Clarity of the objective and its importance	5								
4. Methodology and way of presentation	10								
5. Documentation and use of recent, comprehensive references	5								
6. Applicant's role in the research*	10								
7. Publishing level*	20								
8. Originality and creativity/ Applicability	30								
9. Depth of the discussion and validity of the conclusions	10								
Total Points <sup>(1)</sup>	100								
Grade <sup>(2)</sup>									

<sup>\*</sup> Refer to form (4) of the technological cadre when evaluating the applicant's role and the publishing level.

- (1) All the papers submitted have to be evaluated, even if it is required to add the research to other research papers or if it is considered an article.
- (2) Weak research (less than 60), satisfactory research (60-69), good research (70-79), very good research (80 or more).

a. Overall evaluation of all research papers submitted:
b. Applicant's field of specialization:
Referee's Name:
Employer (Institute / University/ Company):
Signature:
Date:/

Opinion:

II.